See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362330120

Tunable photochemical deposition of silver nanostructures on layered ferroelectric CuInP2S6

Article *in* Journal of Applied Physics · July 2022

CITATIONS 0	5	reads 42	
8 authors, including:			
0	Kun Liu Dalian University of Technology 121 PUBLICATIONS SEE PROFILE		Lujun Pan Dalian University of Technology 203 PUBLICATIONS 3,601 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE
٢	Dawei Li Dalian University of Technology 67 PUBLICATIONS 1,070 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

CVD growth of Graphene and its Applications as Binder Free Electrode Materials for Supercapacitors. View project

Carbon nanomaterials-based nanocomposite electrodes with new architectures for biosensor View project

Tunable photochemical deposition of silver nanostructures on layered ferroelectric CuInP₂S₆

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **132**, 044103 (2022); https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0098647 Submitted: 11 May 2022 • Accepted: 07 July 2022 • Published Online: 29 July 2022

Fanyi Kong, Lei Zhang, Tianze Cong, et al.





Journal of Applied Physics Special Topics Open for Submissions

Tunable photochemical deposition of silver nanostructures on layered ferroelectric CuInP₂S₆

Cite as: J. Appl. Phys. **132**, 044103 (2022); doi: 10.1063/5.0098647 Submitted: 11 May 2022 · Accepted: 7 July 2022 · Published Online: 29 July 2022



Fanyi Kong,¹ Lei Zhang,¹ Tianze Cong,² Zhiwei Wu,¹ Kun Liu,¹ Changsen Sun,¹ ம Lujun Pan,² ம and Dawei Li^{1,a}) 🕩

AFFILIATIONS

¹School of Optoelectronic Engineering and Instrumentation Science, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China ²School of Physics, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

Note: This paper is part of the Special Topic on 2D Piezoelectrics, Pyroelectrics, and Ferroelectrics. ^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: dwli@dlut.edu.cn

ABSTRACT

Two-dimensional layered ferroelectric materials, such as $CuInP_2S_6$ (CIPS), are promising candidates for novel and high-performance photocatalysts, owing to their ultrathin layer thickness, strong interlayer coupling, and intrinsic spontaneous polarization, while how to control the photocatalytic activity in layered CIPS remains unexplored. In this work, we report for the first time, the photocatalytic activity of ferroelectric CIPS for the chemical deposition of silver nanostructures (AgNSs). The results show that the shape and spatial distribution of AgNSs on CIPS are tunable by controlling layer thickness, environmental temperature, and light wavelength. The ferroelectric polarization in CIPS plays a critical role in tunable AgNS photodeposition, as evidenced by layer thickness and temperature dependence experiments. We further reveal that AgNS photodeposition process starts from active site creation, selective nanoparticle nucleation/aggregation, to continuous film formation. Moreover, AgNS/CIPS heterostructures prepared by photodeposition exhibit excellent resistance switching behavior and good surface enhancement Raman Scattering activity. Our findings provide new insight into the photocatalytic activity of layered ferroelectrics and offer a new material platform for advanced functional device applications in smart memristors and enhanced chemical sensors.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0098647

I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) layered materials with noncentrosymmetric structure, such as α -In₂Se₃, SnTe, and CuInP₂S₆ (CIPS), possess unique ferroelectric properties,^{1,2} which lead to a broad spectrum of novel and advanced device applications, including ferroelectric semiconductor transistors,^{3,4} optoelectronic ferroelectric memories,⁵ multidirectional switchable memristors,⁶ and 2D ferroelectric heterostructure-based devices.^{7,8} The transition metal thiophosphate CIPS is a room-temperature ferroelectric semiconductor, with a wide bandgap of ~2.9 eV and a high Curie temperature of ~315(5) K.⁹ It exhibits strong layer thickness-dependent ferroelectric behavior and retains ferroelectricity even down to an atomic scale,^{10,11} making it a great material platform for the potential use in ferroelectric diode,^{11,12} ferroelectric field-effect transistors,^{8,12,13} memristors,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ ferroelectric tunnel junctions,¹⁷ and photovoltaics.¹⁸ Recently, theoretical studies have shown that 2D ferroelectric CIPS is also suitable for photocatalysis.^{19,20} Compared with conventional bulk ferroelectrics, 2D ferroelectric materials have several

advantages for photocatalytic applications. They possess a large surface area, which could supply plenty of reactive sites. More importantly, the ultrathin layer thickness, strong interlayer coupling, together with the intrinsic spontaneous polarization could lead to a highly efficient separation and an ultrafast transfer of photogenerated charge carriers.^{19,21} Although significant progress has been made on the photocatalysis of CIPS,^{19,22} experimental research on how to control its photocatalytic activity has not been reported so far.

In this work, we systemically investigate the effects of intrinsic character and external stimulation (e.g., layer thickness, temperature, light wavelength, etc.) on the photocatalytic properties of CIPS for chemical deposition of silver nanostructures (AgNSs) under light irradiation [Fig. 1(a)]. We found that AgNSs are generated on both the surface and the edge for thick-layer CIPS, but only formed on the top surface for multi-/few-layer ones. This behavior can be well explained by the layer thickness-induced by in-plane polarization and structural phase transition. Besides, full



FIG. 1. Layer thickness dependence of the photochemical deposition of silver nanostructures (AgNSs) on ferroelectric CIPS. (a) Schematic of photodeposition of AgNSs on CIPS under UV light irradiation. (b) Optical image and (c) Raman spectra for the mechanically exfoliated CIPS flakes with different thicknesses. (d) and (f) The effect of UV light irradiation time on AgNS formation from (d) thick-layer (TL) and (f) multi-/few-layer (ML/FL) CIPS. (e) The number (left panel) and total area (right panel) of Ag micro-sheets formed on the edge of CIPS in (d) as a function of UV irradiation time. (g) and (h) Schematics for (g) TL CIPS with the monoclinic structure and (h) ML/FL CIPS with the trigonal structure, with the corresponding polarization (red arrows) and polarization-promoted charge separation (dotted arrows).

coverage of AgNSs is observed on CIPS at a temperature lower than T_c , while negligible AgNSs is observed above T_c , demonstrating that the photocatalytic activity of CIPS is tunable with the temperature via a reversible ferroelectric switch. In addition, we have achieved AgNS deposition on CIPS under separate ultraviolet (UV)

or visible light irradiation, revealing its excellent photocatalytic activity over a wide wavelength. To understand the AgNS photodeposition process, we performed *in situ* electrical and optical imaging measurements, through which a four-step AgNS growth model is proposed. Moreover, the as-prepared AgNS/CIPS heterostructures by photodeposition exhibit an interesting conductance switching behavior (on/off ratio $\sim 10^2$, power consumption $\sim 7.4 \text{ pW}$) and a good surface enhancement Raman Scattering (SERS) activity. This work paves the way for the development of highly tunable and reusable photocatalysts based on ultrathin 2D ferroelectrics and provides a new material platform with potential for neuromorphic computing and enhanced chemical sensing.

II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Effect of layer thickness on the photocatalytic activity of CIPS

As illustrated in Fig. 1(a), CIPS is a layered ferroelectric material, which is composed of a sulfur framework with octahedral voids filled by the cations (Cu⁺, In³⁺) and P-P paris.^{23,24} CIPS flakes with various thicknesses h, including thick-layer (TL, h > 100 nm), multilayer (ML, 20 < h < 100 nm), and few-layer (FL, h < 20 nm), were prepared by mechanical exfoliation and then transferred onto the SiO₂ (285 nm)/Si substrate [Fig. 1(b)]. The thickness of these samples has been identified by combing optical contrast with atomic force microscope (AFM) measurement (Fig. S1 in the supplementary material). Figure 1(c) compares the Raman spectra of TL (~185 nm), ML (~24 nm), and FL (~14 nm) CIPS flakes in Fig. 1(b), which show similar Raman active modes.²⁵ Multi peaks are observed in each spectrum, including 101, 124, and 137 cm^{-1} for the anion, 155 cm^{-1} for $\delta(S - P - P)$, 234, 242, 253, 269, 281, and 300 cm⁻¹ for $\delta(P - S - P)$, 312 cm⁻¹ for the cation, and 377 cm⁻¹ for v(P - P), consistent with the previously reported results of ferroelectric CIPS.^{26,27} In this work, the photocatalytic properties of CIPS are investigated by the photodeposition of AgNSs under UV light irradiation in silver nitrate (AgNO₃) solution [Fig. 1(a)].

We first investigate the effect of layer thickness on the photocatalytic activity of CIPS [Figs. 1(d)-1(f))]. Figure 1(d) shows the time-dependent evolution of AgNSs on a TL (~240 nm) CIPS flake under 254 nm UV light irradiation. Some key features have been found as follows [Figs. 1(d) and S2 in the supplementary material]: at the initial stage (0 < t < 5 min), isolated silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are formed on the CIPS surface, meanwhile the color of the surface changes from light green to dark green. With increasing the UV irradiation time (5 < t < 20 min), AgNPs become denser, followed by the CIPS surface gradually changing into orange color, indicating that a continuous Ag thin film has been formed in the partial region due to AgNPs aggregation. When $t \ge 20$ min, Ag thin films have been deposited on most of the CIPS surface, as evidenced by the uniform distribution of orange color. In the meantime, Ag micro-sheets start to appear on the edge of CIPS and grow along an in-plane direction [Fig. S2(c) in the supplementary material]. Figure 1(e) displays the Ag micro-sheet growth as a function of UV irradiation time. It can be seen that both the number and total area of Ag micro-sheets exponentially increase within 30 min and become stable with further increase in the UV irradiation time. These results suggest that both the surface and the edge of TL CIPS exhibit strong photocatalytic activity for AgNS deposition. As a comparison, we further performed AgNS photodeposition experiment on a ML (~27 nm) and a FL (~10 nm) CIPS flake under the same conditions [Figs. 1(f) and S3 in the supplementary material]. Obviously, Ag thin films have been formed on the surface, while Ag micro-sheets are not observed on the edge, revealing that the reactive sites for ML/FL CIPS only exist on its surface. Such different photocatalytic behaviors among CIPS with various thicknesses are confirmed by using more samples (Fig. S4 in the supplementary material). Moreover, we found that after AgNSs deposited on CIPS are removed, ferroelectric CIPS can be reused without remarkable loss of its photocatalytic activity (Fig. S5 in the supplementary material).

It is considered that the origin of photocatalytic activity of layered CIPS is correlated to its ferroelectric polarization characteristics. We know that the spontaneous polarization (\vec{P}) in ferroelectric materials could efficiently separate photogenerated electrons and holes,²⁸ which are then transported along the direction parallel to \vec{P} . In our case, CIPS exhibits a strong layer thickness-dependent structure phase transition.²⁹ For CIPS above a critical thickness (TL, h > 100 nm), it belongs to a monoclinic structure and has both out-of-plane (\vec{P}_{OP}) and in-plane (\vec{P}_{IP}) polarization components [Fig. 1(g)]. Therefore, photogenerated charge carriers in TL CIPS with a monoclinic structure could transport along \vec{P}_{OP} and \vec{P}_{IP} directions, leading to the photoreduction of AgNSs on both the surface and edge of CIPS. While for CIPS below a critical thickness (ML and FL, h < 100 nm), it becomes a trigonal structure and P_{IP} component disappears [Fig. 1(h)]. Under this condition, photogenerated electrons are only transported to the surface of CIPS and participate in photochemical reactions.

To understand the role of polarization (or surface charge) in photocatalysis, we modified a CIPS flake by transferring few-layer graphene with no polarization on top, forming graphene/CIPS heterostructure with no surface polarization (Fig. S6 in the supplementary material). We then compared the photocatalytic properties of CIPS, graphene, and graphene/CIPS heterostructure via the photodeposition of AgNSs under the same condition as in Fig. 1 (Fig. S7 in the supplementary material). It can be seen that, after UV light irradiation, no obvious AgNSs is deposited in either graphene or graphene/CIPS regions, while the continuous Ag thin film together with Ag micro-sheets are formed on the surface and edge of CIPS ($t \ge 30$ min). Therefore, ferroelectric polarization plays a key role in the photocatalytic activity of layered CIPS, as will be further confirmed in the later section.

B. *In situ* monitoring of the AgNS photodeposition process on CIPS

According to the observation in Figs. 1(d) and 1(f), we propose that the photodeposition of AgNSs on the CIPS surface starts with the nucleation of nanoparticles, which become denser, and finally form the continuous film. To gain a better understanding of this process, we performed the electrical measurement for *in situ* monitoring of the evolution of AgNSs on CIPS under UV light irradiation [Fig. 2(a)]. Figure 2(b) shows the optical image of a ML (~26 nm) CIPS device, fabricated by transferring an exfoliated sample on top of two parallel Au electrodes. Current–voltage (*I–V*) curve measurement with varying voltage sweep range confirms that pristine CIPS behaves as an insulator (Fig. S8 in the supplementary material). *In situ I–V* testing of the CIPS device was carried out during a 1 h AgNS photodeposition process with a fixed sweep range from –1 to 1 V [Fig. 2(c)]. It can be seen that the conductivity of CIPS highly



FIG. 2. In situ monitoring of AgNS photodeposition on CIPS via electrical measurements. (a) CIPS device schematic. (b) Optical image of a multi-layer CIPS device, where CIPS and Au electrodes are indicated by dotted and dashed lines, respectively. (c) *I–V* characteristics of the CIPS device in (b) after UV light irradiation in AgNO₃ solution for different time, with the corresponding optical images (insets). The arrows represent the voltage sweeping direction. (d) Current signal for the device in (c) at a bias of 1 V as a function of UV irradiation time. (e) Modeling of three-stage AgNS photodeposition process on ferroelectric CIPS and electrical measurements.

depends on the UV irradiation time, which changes from an insulating (t < 10 min) to a high conducting state (t > 30 min). The current on/off ratio between the high and low conductance states at $V_{\text{bias}} = 1$ V reaches ~105 [Fig. 2(d)]. A similar phenomenon has been observed in other CIPS devices (Fig. S9 in the supplementary material).

The electrical behavior observed above definitely reflects the evolution of AgNSs on the CIPS surface [insets in Fig. 2(c)]. Based on the current vs UV irradiation time relation [Fig. 2(d)], AgNS photodeposition process on CIPS can be divided into three stages. For each stage, we proposed the possible current paths for the CIPS device [Fig. 2(e)]. In the pristine state (t = 0 min), no current signal is detected. At stage 1 (0 < t < 10 min), we observed a weak current signal (~0.2 pA at $V_{\text{bias}} = 1$ V), suggesting that isolated AgNPs have been deposited on CIPS but still not formed an effective current path. Significant current signal enhancement occurs at stage 2 (10 < t < 30 min). During this period, the continuous Ag thin film begins to form on the partial surface of CIPS, resulting in a narrow current path. At stage 3 (t > 30 min), the entire CIPS surface has been covered by Ag thin film, which makes the current channel stable.

In addition, we have observed the conductance switching (CS) behavior in AgNS/CIPS heterostructure during photodeposition, especially at stage 3 [Figs. 2(c) and S9(b) in the supplementary material]. Such memristive effect has been previously reported in

CIPS,^{14-16,30} where the devices are usually designed into either lateral or vertical metal/CIPS/metal structure. The CS mechanism in CIPS is considered to be originated from the Cu⁺ ion directional migration driven by an external electric field.^{14,15} In our case, no CS behavior (or current signal) was detected in the pristine CIPS, even with a large bias voltage up to 10 V (Fig. S8 in the supplementary material), thus ruling out the possibility of in-plane Cu⁺ ions migration.¹⁴ Instead, Cu⁺ ions interlayer hopping movement driven by a vertical electric field supports our observation and the model proposed in Fig. 2(e). After an electric field (\vec{E}) is applied, Cu⁺ ions on top of the Au electrodes prefer to migrate along the \vec{P}_{OP} (under positive \vec{E}) or $-\vec{P}_{OP}$ (under negative \vec{E}) direction, and then the current flowing is realized through Ag thin film on the CIPS surface. Thus, we can conclude that both directional migration of Cu⁺ ions and Ag film on the CIPS surface play a key role in current modulation. Moreover, the power consumption $(P_{set} = I_{on} \times V_{set})$ in AgNS/CIPS heterostructure has been estimated, which is only ~7.4 pW, much lower than Au/CIPS/Ti heterostructurebased device.¹⁶ These properties make the as-prepared AgNS/CIPS heterostructures by photodeposition possible for future neuromorphic computing and smart memristors.³

Although the photodeposition of AgNSs on CIPS has been deeply analyzed via electrical measurement, this method is not effective to investigate the AgNP nucleation process [stage 1 in Fig. 2(e)]. Thus, we performed *in situ* optical imaging of the evolution of



FIG. 3. The dynamic of the AgNS nucleation process on ferroelectric CIPS. (a) Optical image of a thick-layer CIPS flake. (b) *In situ* optical imaging of the AgNS nucleation process on CIPS in (a). (c) The coverage of AgNSs on CIPS vs UV irradiation time. The dots and solid lines are experimental data and fitting curves, respectively. (d) Raman spectra for the CIPS sample in (a) and (b).

AgNSs on CIPS in the initial state [Figs. 3(a) and 3(b)]. Figure 3(b) shows a series of enlarged optical images for a CIPS flake in Fig. 3(a) exposed to UV light at different time. It can be seen that some nano textures are formed at the beginning (t < 20 s), which is considered to be the active site for catalytic reactions. Indeed, nano texture positions are then gradually occupied by small AgNPs (20 < t < 120 s). After that, AgNPs become larger and start to emerge with the neighboring ones. Figure 3(c) shows the coverage of AgNPs extracted from Fig. 3(b) using ImageJ software as a function of the UV irradiation time. It is predicted that the formation of continuous Ag thin film could be completed at $t \approx 22$ min, which is in agreement with the observation in Figs. 1 and 2. To confirm whether AgNS deposition will influence the structure of CIPS, UV irradiation time-dependent Raman measurement was performed [Fig. 3(d)]. As expected, no significant change is observed for all Raman modes of CIPS, confirming that AgNS photodeposition is a surface reaction, but does not produce defects in CIPS beneath. Overall, we can conclude that the entire AgNS photodeposition process on CIPS requires four steps: (I) active site creation, (II) selective nanoparticle nucleation, (III) nanoparticle aggregation, and (IV) continuous thin film formation.

C. Effects of temperature and light wavelength on the photocatalytic activity of CIPS

To confirm the role of ferroelectric characteristics in the photocatalysis of CIPS, we performed the photodeposition of AgNSs in AgNO₃ solution at various temperatures by choosing multiple thick-layer (h > 100 nm) CIPS flakes with similar ferroelectric polarization [Fig. 1(g)], where all of these samples exhibit strong room-temperature photocatalytic activity on both the surface and the edge. As shown in Fig. 4(a) and S10 in the supplementary material, at room temperature (T = 25 °C), Ag thin film and microsheets are observed on the surface and the edge of CIPS, respectively. Upon heating to T = 45 °C, a few Ag micro-sheets are grown on the edge, and non-continuous Ag film structures are deposited on the surface. Further heating the sample to $T \ge 60$ °C, Ag microsheets at the edge completely disappear, while Ag film structures



FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the photodeposition of AgNSs on layered CIPS. (a) Optical images of typical thick-layer CIPS flakes after UV light irradiation in AgNO₃ solution at different temperatures. The insets are the corresponding samples before reaction. The edges of CIPS flakes are marked by white dotted lines. (b) The coverage of AgNSs on CIPS extracted from (a) vs temperature. During the thermal treatment, reversible ferroelectric–paraelectric phase transition in CIPS occurs. (c) Room-temperature Raman spectra for a CIPS sample before and after treatment in AgNO₃ solution at 90 °C.

are grown in the center region, reflecting the influence of temperature on the distribution of reactive sites on CIPS. When the temperature is increased to 90 °C, no AgNSs is deposited on either the surface or the edge. It is believed that the transformation of CIPS from ferroelectric $(T < T_c)$ to paraelectric $(T > T_c)$ phase must occur during the thermal treatment mentioned above.9,34 Figure 4(b) shows the temperature dependence of Ag film coverage on the CIPS surface, demonstrating that the photocatalytic capability of CIPS for AgNS deposition in the paraelectric phase is much weaker than that in the ferroelectric phase. This is because the spontaneous polarization of CIPS, which could significantly affect the photocatalytic activities,^{34,35} is lost during the ferroelectric to the paraelectric phase transition due to the movement of Cu ions within the lattice.³⁶ Figure 4(c) compares the room-temperature Raman spectra of CIPS before and after thermal treatment in a solution at 90 °C, where no significant change is observed. It is indicated that the ferroelectric to the paraelectric phase transition is a reversible switching process. In this case, the photocatalytic activity of CIPS could be gradually recovered during the paraelectric to the ferroelectric phase transition, as evidenced by the temperature-dependent AgNS deposition on CIPS during cooling [Figs. 4(a), 4(b), and S11 in the supplementary material]. Therefore, the photocatalytic activity of CIPS can be well tuned by the ferroelectric-paraelectric phase transition, further confirming the critical role of ferroelectricity in photocatalysis.

The photocatalytic capability of CIPS is not only determined by its ferroelectricity but also highly dependent on the electronic band structure. We know that CIPS is a layered semiconductor with a wide bandgap $E_{\rm g}$ of ~2.9 eV. Therefore, to realize the photocatalysis of CIPS, an incident light with photon energy above $E_{\rm g}$ is required,²⁵ which can generate an electron-hole pair. Figures 5(a)-5(c) show the optical images of TL CIPS flakes under the irradiation of light or lasers with wavelengths ranging from UV (254 nm) to visible (633 nm). Interestingly, both the UV light $(E > E_g)$ and visible lasers $(E < E_g)$ can be utilized to realize AgNS photodeposition on CIPS. According to the semiconductor band theory [Fig. 5(d)], the origin of active photocatalysis of CIPS under UV light can be well explained by single-photon absorption (SPA). On the other hand, a unique absorption spectrum with a broad absorption tail of up to 1000 nm has been detected in CIPS,²⁵ which is the main reason for the observed photocatalytic activity of CIPS under the long wavelength lasers in our study. This phenomenon is considered to be a two-photon absorption (TPA) process [Fig. 5(d)],^{25,37} as evidenced by photoluminescence (PL) measurement using a visible (532 nm) laser as the excitation light source [Fig. 5(e)].

scitation.org/journal/jap



FIG. 5. The influence of light wavelength on the photocatalytic activity of CIPS. (a)–(c) Optical images of thick-layer CIPS flakes in AgNO₃ solution under the irradiation of (a) UV light for 5 min, (b) 532 nm laser for 4 s, and (c) 632 nm laser for 4 s. The dotted circles in (b) and (c) point to the laser-irradiated areas. The insets are the corresponding samples before reaction. (d) The band structure of CIPS under light irradiation that is above (254 nm) or below (532 and 633 nm) energy gap E_g (~2.9 eV). (e) PL spectrum of a thick-layer CIPS under 532 nm laser excitation. (f) Optical image of the patterned AgNSs formed on CIPS by programmable laser irradiation. (g) Raman spectra of 10⁻⁶ M R6G taken on AgNS/CIPS heterostructure, CIPS, and SiO₂/Si substrate. (h) 2D Raman mapping of R6G at 1508 cm⁻¹ measured in the boxed area in (f).

We observed a strong PL spectrum with an emission peak at \sim 2.07 eV (\sim 600 nm), much smaller than the bandgap (\sim 2.9 eV) of CIPS, which originated from radiative recombination at defect-states within the bandgap through an interband excitation via TPA.³⁷

It is also noted that the location of AgNSs on CIPS could be controlled with a focused laser at an appropriate power [Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)], showing promising potential for selective SERS detection. To prove this idea, we designed a 3×3 AgNS array by a programable 532 nm laser via photodeposition, as shown in Fig. 5(f). Figure 5(g) compares the Raman spectra of 10^{-6} M R6G taken at AgNS/CIPS, CIPS, and SiO₂/Si substrate. No Raman signal of R6G is observed at the SiO₂/Si substrate, while an enhanced Raman signal is detected at the AgNS/CIPS region (Fig. S12 in the supplementary material), which is about 20 times higher than that at the CIPS area. The selective SERS detection capability has been confirmed by 2D Raman mapping [Fig. 5(h)]. Although the enhancement uniformity needs further improvement, we have realized patterned SERS substrate based on ferroelectric-driven photodeposition, which is suitable for enhanced chemical sensing.

Overall, 2D ferroelectric CIPS has been proven suitable for photocatalysis, in particular, in tunable photodeposition. Compared with conventional ferroelectrics, such as perovskite Pb(Zr,Ti)O₃, BaTiO₃, and BiFeO₃, 2D CIPS has several advantages: (1) CIPS retains stable ferroelectricity and high photocatalytic performance even down to an atomic scale (bilayers, ~1.6 nm),^{11,19} which is difficult for conventional ferroelectric thin films.^{38,39} (2) CIPS exhibits large intrinsic spontaneous polarization [4.22 (4.48) μ C/cm² for OP (IP) polarization],¹⁰ comparable with those of oxide ferroelectrics.⁴⁰ (3) A simple preparation method like exfoliation could be used to achieve highly crystalline 2D CIPS, while the fabrication of highquality ferroelectric oxide thin films usually requires expensive equipment and the careful selection of substrates with small lattice mismatch.⁹ (4) CIPS is a layered structure that is flexible, but ferroelectric oxides are brittle and easy to crack, suggesting that 2D CIPS is more suitable for application in flexible ferroelectric devices such as photodetectors and photocatalysis.⁴

III. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have achieved a tunable photodeposition of AgNSs on layered CIPS by controlling the layer thickness, temperature, and light wavelength. In situ electrical and optical imaging measurements reveal that the photodeposition of AgNSs on CIPS can be divided into four steps, including reactive site creation, selective nanoparticle nucleation, nanoparticle aggregation, and continuous film formation. Both the layer thickness and temperature dependence experiments confirm that the photocatalytic activity of CIPS is much stronger in the ferroelectric phase than that in the paraelectric phase. Moreover, we found that AgNS/CIPS heterostructures prepared by photodeposition exhibit low-power conductance switching behavior and selective SERS detection capability. Thus, our work offers a new material platform for novel nanoelectronic and nanophotonic device applications, such as smart memristors, spatial selective SERS sensors, and broadband photodetectors.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A. Sample preparation

Ferroelectric CIPS flakes with different layer thicknesses were mechanically exfoliated from their bulk single crystal (Six Carbon Technology, China) and then transferred onto the SiO₂/Si substrate. The thickness of CIPS samples was determined by combining optical microscope (ECLIPSE LV150N, Nikon, Japan) and AFM (Jupiter-XR, Oxford, USA) measurements. The CIPS devices were fabricated by the standard photolithography technique. First, we prepared patterned Au (10 nm)/Ti (2 nm) electrodes on the SiO₂/Si substrate. Second, we transferred the CIPS flake on top of the prepatterned electrodes using our home-made 2D material dry transfer system.

B. Photodeposition of AgNSs on ferroelectric CIPS

The mechanically exfoliated CIPS samples were immersed in 3 mM AgNO₃ solution and exposed to UV light (wavelength: ~254 nm and power density: 18μ W/cm²) for a fixed time. During the AgNS photodeposition process, *in situ* optical and electrical monitoring experiments were carried out. In addition, we performed a temperature-dependent photocatalysis experiment, where AgNS growth was conducted under the irradiation of UV light in AgNO₃ solution at various temperatures ranging from 25 to 90 °C. As a comparison, the focused lasers with wavelengths of 532 and 633 nm were also chosen as the light sources. The number (or area) of AgNSs (including AgNPs and Ag thin films) deposited on top of CIPS was counted by ImageJ software.

C. Characterizations

The morphologies of CIPS and AgNS/CIPS heterostructures were characterized by an optical microscope, AFM, and a scanning electron microscope (SEM, JCM-5000, NeoScope, Japan). The structure and composition of our samples were analyzed in a micro-Raman system (Renishaw inVia, UK). Raman signals were collected by focusing a 633 nm laser with a power of \sim 3.2 mW onto the sample surfaces through a \times 50 objective (NA: 0.55). PL emission signals were taken with a 532 nm laser as the excitation light source. The electrical measurement was performed using a semiconductor device analyzer (B1500A, Keysight, USA) in combination with a probe station.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the supplementary material for additional information on the effect of layer thickness on the photocatalytic activity of CIPS, *in situ* monitoring of AgNS deposition on CIPS, temperaturedependent photocatalytic activity of CIPS, etc.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge Xueyun Wang from the Beijing Institute of Technology for CIPS model construction assistance. This work was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities [Nos. DUT21RC(3)032 and DUT21YG121] and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NNSFC) (No. 51972039).

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Fanyi Kong: Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing review and editing (equal). Lei Zhang: Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (supporting); Investigation (supporting); Writing review and editing (supporting). Tianze Cong: Data curation (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting). Zhiwei Wu: Data curation (supporting); Formal analysis (supporting). Kun Liu: Formal analysis (supporting); Writing - review and editing (supporting). Changsen Sun: Formal analysis (supporting); Funding acquisition (supporting); Writing - review and editing (supporting). Lujun Pan: Formal analysis (supporting); Funding acquisition (supporting); Writing - review and editing (supporting). Dawei Li: Conceptualization (lead); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (lead); Investigation (equal); Methodology (lead); Supervision (lead); Writing - original draft (equal); Writing - review and editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

¹F. Xue, J.-H. He, and X. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Rev. 8, 021316 (2021).

²H. Ryu, K. Xu, D. Li, X. Hong, and W. Zhu, Appl. Phys. Lett. 117, 080503 (2020).

³M. Si, A. K. Saha, S. Gao, G. Qiu, J. Qin, Y. Duan, J. Jian, C. Niu, H. Wang, W. Wu, S. K. Gupta, and P. D. Ye, Nat. Electron. 2, 580 (2019).

⁴L. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, R. Li, T. Ma, K. Leng, Z. Chen, I. Abdelwahab, and K. P. Loh, Adv. Funct. Mater. 30, 2004609 (2020).

⁵F. Xue, X. He, W. Liu, D. Periyanagounder, C. Zhang, M. Chen, C.-H. Lin, L. Luo, E. Yengel, V. Tung, T. D. Anthopoulos, L.-J. Li, J.-H. He, and X. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater. 30, 2004206 (2020).

⁶F. Xue, X. He, J. R. D. Retamal, A. Han, J. Zhang, Z. Liu, J.-K. Huang, W. Hu, V. Tung, J.-H. He, L.-J. Li, and X. Zhang, Adv. Mater. 31, 1901300 (2019).

⁷H. Yang, M. Xiao, Y. Cui, L. Pan, K. Zhao, and Z. Wei, Sci. China Inform. Sci. 62, 220404 (2019).

⁸M. Si, P.-Y. Liao, G. Qiu, Y. Duan, and P. D. Ye, ACS Nano 12, 6700 (2018).

⁹A. Belianinov, Q. He, A. Dziaugys, P. Maksymovych, E. Eliseev, A. Borisevich, A. Morozovska, J. Banys, Y. Vysochanskii, and S. V. Kalinin, Nano Lett. 15, 3808 (2015).

10 J. Deng, Y. Liu, M. Li, S. Xu, Y. Lun, P. Lv, T. Xia, P. Gao, X. Wang, and J. Hong, Small 16, 1904529 (2020).

¹¹F. Liu, L. You, K. L. Seyler, X. Li, P. Yu, J. Lin, X. Wang, J. Zhou, H. Wang, H. He, S. T. Pantelides, W. Zhou, P. Sharma, X. Xu, P. M. Ajayan, J. Wang, and Z. Liu, Nat. Commun. 7, 12357 (2016).

¹²Z. Zhao, K. Xu, H. Ryu, and W. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 12, 51820 (2020).

¹³P. Singh, S. Baek, H. H. Yoo, J. Niu, J.-H. Park, and S. Lee, ACS Nano 16, 5418 (2022).

14J. Chen, C. Zhu, G. Cao, H. Liu, R. Bian, J. Wang, C. Li, J. Chen, Q. Fu, Q. Liu, P. Meng, W. Li, F. Liu, and Z. Liu, Adv. Mater. 34, 2104676 (2021).
¹⁵X. Jiang, X. Wang, X. Wang, X. Zhang, R. Niu, J. Deng, S. Xu, Y. Lun, Y. Liu,

T. Xia, J. Lu, and J. Hong, Nat. Commun. 13, 574 (2022).

16 B. Li, S. Li, H. Wang, L. Chen, L. Liu, X. Feng, Y. Li, J. Chen, X. Gong, and K.-W. Ang, Adv. Electron. Mater. 6, 2000760 (2020).

17 J. Wu, H.-Y. Chen, N. Yang, J. Cao, X. Yan, F. Liu, Q. Sun, X. Ling, J. Guo, and H. Wang, Nat. Electron. 3, 466 (2020).

18 Y. Li, J. Fu, X. Mao, C. Chen, H. Liu, M. Gong, and H. Zeng, Nat. Commun. 12, 5896 (2021).

19Y. Fan, X. Song, H. Ai, W. Li, and M. Zhao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 13, 34486 (2021).

²⁰L. Ju, J. Shang, X. Tang, and L. Kou, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 142, 1492 (2020).

21 X. Hong, J. Kim, S.-F. Shi, Y. Zhang, C. Jin, Y. Sun, S. Tongay, J. Wu, Y. Zhang, and F. Wang, Nat. Nanotechnol. 9, 682 (2014).

²²P. Yu, F. Wang, J. Meng, T. A. Shifa, M. G. Sendeku, J. Fang, S. Li, Z. Cheng, X. Lou, and J. He, CrystEngComm 23, 591 (2021).

23 V. Maisonneuve, M. Evain, C. Payen, V. B. Cajipe, and P. Molinié, J. Alloys Compd. 218, 157 (1995).

24V. Maisonneuve, V. B. Cajipe, A. Simon, R. Von Der Muhll, and J. Ravez, Phys. Rev. B 56, 10860 (1997).

25 R.-R. Ma, D.-D. Xu, Z. Guan, X. Deng, F. Yue, R. Huang, Y. Chen, N. Zhong, P.-H. Xiang, and C.-G. Duan, Appl. Phys. Lett. 117, 131102 (2020).

26Y. M. Vysochanskii, V. A. Stephanovich, A. A. Molnar, V. B. Cajipe, and X. Bourdon, Phys. Rev. B 58, 9119 (1998).

²⁷C.-H. Ho, S.-F. Hu, and H.-W. Chang, FlatChem 29, 100290 (2021).

28 F. Chen, H. Huang, L. Guo, Y. Zhang, and T. Ma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58, 10061 (2019).

29 J. M. Deng, Y. Y. Liu, M. Q. Li, S. Xu, Y. Z. Lun, P. Lv, T. L. Xia, P. Gao, X. Y. Wang, and J. W. Hong, Small 16, 1904529 (2020).

30 D. Zhang, Z.-D. Luo, Y. Yao, P. Schoenherr, C. Sha, Y. Pan, P. Sharma, M. Alexe, and J. Seidel, Nano Lett. 21, 995 (2021).

³¹X. Zhang, Y. Zhuo, Q. Luo, Z. Wu, R. Midya, Z. Wang, W. Song, R. Wang, N. K. Upadhyay, Y. Fang, F. Kiani, M. Rao, Y. Yang, Q. Xia, Q. Liu, M. Liu, and J. J. Yang, Nat. Commun. 11, 51 (2020).

32 H. Yeon, P. Lin, C. Choi, S. H. Tan, Y. Park, D. Lee, J. Lee, F. Xu, B. Gao, H. Wu, H. Qian, Y. Nie, S. Kim, and J. Kim, Nat. Nanotechnol. 15, 574 (2020).

33Z. Wang, H. Wu, G. W. Burr, C. S. Hwang, K. L. Wang, Q. Xia, and J. J. Yang, Mat. Rev. Mater. 5, 173 (2020).

34B. Lin, A. Chaturvedi, J. Di, L. You, C. Lai, R. Duan, J. Zhou, B. Xu, Z. Chen, P. Song, J. Peng, B. Ma, H. Liu, P. Meng, G. Yang, H. Zhang, Z. Liu, and F. Liu, Nano Energy 76, 104972 (2020).

³⁵C. Hu, S. Tu, N. Tian, T. Ma, Y. Zhang, and H. Huang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 60, 16309 (2021).

³⁶A. Simon, J. Ravez, V. Maisonneuve, C. Payen, and V. B. Cajipe, Chem. Mater. 6, 1575 (1994).

37X.-Q. Yan, X. Zhao, H. Xu, L. Zhang, D. Liu, Y. Zhang, C. Huo, F. Liu, J. Xie, X. Dong, Z.-B. Liu, and J.-G. Tian, J. Mater. Chem. C 10, 696 (2022).

³⁸L. W. Martin and A. M. Rappe, Nat. Rev. Mater. 2, 16087 (2016).

³⁹J. Junquera and P. Ghosez, Nature **422**, 506 (2003).

40 D. Li, X. Huang, Z. Xiao, H. Chen, L. Zhang, Y. Hao, J. Song, D.-F. Shao, E. Y. Tsymbal, Y. Lu, and X. Hong, Nat. Commun. 11, 1422 (2020).

⁴¹M. Yao, Y. Cheng, Z. Zhou, and M. Liu, J. Mater. Chem. C 8, 14 (2020).